## The worst kind of middle ground

By <u>Steve Thorngate</u> November 24, 2009

The Democrats have built their majority by expanding their tent; as a result there is now a sizable group of antiabortion Democrats in Congress. The new abortion divide—intra- along with inter-party—has shaken the Democratic consensus on health insurance reform.

## In a commentary for the Century,

I take a look at the Stupak Amendment, the 11th-hour addition to the House health-insurance bill that would ban abortion coverage in the bill's "public option" plan and in most plans sold on new insurance exchanges. I argue against the amendment on the grounds that it would perpetuate further the existing income gap in abortion access.

## While

Americans lack consensus on abortion rights, our laws too often reflect a perverse consensus on whose rights matter most: it's far easier politically to go after those with less money. The centrist position on abortion (to the extent that such a thing exists) tends to focus on low-income women and the sets of bad choices they're often faced with. It downplays the tougher ethical questions: what about women with comfortable incomes and good insurance who simply don't want to have a baby? Should *they* have access to elective abortion?

## If the

Stupak Amendment becomes law, they may well be the only ones who do. All eyes are on the Senate, where Sen. Orrin Hatch is <u>expected</u> to introduce a similar amendment to the Senate's health-insurance bill.