Our government at work

By <u>Steve Thorngate</u> January 22, 2010

With the Republican <u>pickup</u> of Ted Kennedy's old Senate seat, health insurance reform is <u>on the ropes</u>. <u>Numerous experts and commentators agree that</u> since the Senate Democrats no longer have the votes to pass a revised bill, the way forward is for the House to pass the existing (underwhelming) Senate bill as is—and then improve it via the budget reconciliation process, which doesn't require filibuster-proof supermajorities. (Ron Pollack has <u>advocated</u> doing this in reverse order.) But House Democrats <u>might not have</u> the votes to make this happen, because of <u>trouble</u> from both the <u>right and left</u> wings of the caucus.

In

short, although the country's left-leaning major party has control of the White House and large majorities in both houses of Congress, it might not be enough to pass a moderate, common-sense bill—a bill <u>full of ideas</u> that right-of-center folks used to support, a bill that represents <u>compromise</u> <u>after</u> compromise by liberals.

Meanwhile, the Supreme Court's conservative bloc used its one-vote majority to <u>roll back campaign finance reform</u> by reducing restrictions on political donations from corporations and unions. Steve Woolley <u>speaks for</u> the moral outrage many are feeling, while fellow <u>CCblogger David Lewicki highlights</u> a root philosophical problem: the alleged personhood of corporations.

James Fallows points out

the not-so-delicious irony: "In the least accountable branch of government, the narrowest margin prevails; in our elected legislative branch, substantial majorities are neutered."